

Covariant Gauge Fixing for Super IIA D-branes

Shijong Ryang

*Department of Physics
Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine
Taishogun, Kyoto 603-8334 Japan
ryang@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp*

Abstract

For the κ -symmetric super IIA D-brane action by the canonical approach we construct an equivalent effective action which is characterized by an auxiliary scalar field. By analyzing the canonical equations of motion for the κ -symmetry-gauge-fixed action we find a suitable conformal-like covariant gauge fixing of reparametrization symmetry to obtain a simplified effective action where the non-linear square root structure is removed. We discuss how the two effective actions are connected.

September, 1998

The emergence of D-branes in the superstring theory has led to remarkable developments in our understanding of the non-perturbative aspects of the theory. Since the R-R p-brane solutions of type II supergravity theories are interpreted as the D-p-branes which are described by the worldvolumes on which the open superstring ends [1], their bosonic worldvolume action can be constructed by the usual superstring methods as the sum of a Born-Infeld-Nambu-Goto (BING) action [2, 3] and a Wess-Zumino (WZ) action [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The global supersymmetry and local kappa-symmetry invariant actions for the D-p-branes have been constructed in flat superspace [9, 10] and the general type IIA or IIB supergravity background [11, 12].

From the bosonic D-p-brane non-linear BING action an equivalent effective action in a sort of Polyakov type which is quadratic in the derivative of ten-dimensional space-time coordinates and linear in the Born-Infeld $U(1)$ gauge field strength, has been produced by means of the Lagrangian description [13] where the extension to super D-p-brane is also presented, and the canonical phase space description [14] where a null bosonic D-p-brane action is also derived. Based on this quadratic effective action for the super D-p-brane, which includes, however, an auxiliary 'metric' with both symmetric and anti-symmetric parts, a light-cone Hamiltonian which is quadratic in canonical momenta has been constructed [15], while a light-cone Hamiltonian for the bosonic D-2-brane has been obtained directly from the beginning D-2-brane non-linear BING action [16]. Associated with the null D-p-brane action a new version of the super D-p-brane action without the WZ term, which is κ -symmetric even though there is no WZ term, has been constructed [17], where the tension is elevated to a dynamical variable and the corresponding extended Hamiltonian is presented.

Toward the covariant quantization of the super D-p-brane a covariant gauge for fixing the κ -symmetry has been found to make the action so simple that the WZ term disappears and a static gauge for fixing the bosonic reparametrization symmetry has been chosen, however, to leave a complicated non-linear BING action [9]. From the κ -symmetry-gauge-fixed action which is considered as a supersymmetric generalization of the theory in Ref. [14], the structure of the extended Hamiltonian has been analyzed without using the static gauge and the BPS states of the theory have been described Lorentz covariantly [18]. For the κ -symmetric type II D-p-brane action the WZ term has been explicitly constructed and used to develop the canonical formulation [19], where the global supersymmetry algebra is computed to give the expressions of the central charges.

It is important for making the study of super D-brane dynamics tractable to seek for an appropriate covariant gauge that linearizes the complicated square root structure of the BING action, instead of the static gauge. Starting from the κ -symmetric IIA D-p-brane action we will give a Dirac Hamiltonian in the canonical formulation. From it an effective action parametrized with an auxiliary scalar field will be constructed. On the other hand analyzing the canonical equations of motion for the κ -symmetry-gauge-fixed action we will find a suitable covariant gauge for fixing the remaining reparametrization symmetry, which removes the non-linearity of the BING action. Then we will obtain a simple quadratic effective action. It will be observed that there is a connection between the two effective actions.

Let us consider the super IIA D-p-brane with tension T and even p which is described

by the κ -symmetric action in flat superspace

$$S = S_{DBI} + S_{WZ} = T \left(- \int d^{p+1} \sigma \sqrt{-\det(\gamma_{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{ij})} + \int \Omega_{p+1} \right). \quad (1)$$

The BING action S_{DBI} is manifestly global supersymmetric since it is expressed in terms of the manifestly supersymmetric induced worldvolume metric

$$\gamma_{ij} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \Pi_i^\mu \Pi_j^\nu, \quad \Pi_i^\mu = \partial_i X^\mu - \bar{\theta} \Gamma^\mu \partial_i \theta, \quad (2)$$

where $X^\mu (\mu = 0, \dots, 9)$ are vector coordinates in the flat ten-dimensional target space and θ is the type IIA Majorana spinor, and the supersymmetric Born-Infeld field strength of the U(1) gauge field $A_i (i = 0, \dots, p)$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ij} \equiv F_{ij} - b_{ij} = [\partial_i A_j - \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta (\partial_j X^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^\mu \partial_j \theta)] - (i \leftrightarrow j), \quad (3)$$

where there are no background fields. The 32-component IIA spinor θ is decomposed into two Majorana-Weyl spinors as $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ with $\theta_1 = (1 + \Gamma_{11})\theta/2$, $\theta_2 = (1 - \Gamma_{11})\theta/2$. The WZ action which is specified by $I_{p+2} = d\Omega_{p+1}$ is also invariant under the global supersymmetry because I_{p+2} is constructed from the supersymmetry invariants and the variation of the (p+1)-form Ω_{p+1} is exact [9]. The corresponding full Lagrangian $L = L_{DBI} + L_{WZ}$ is considered to depend on $\pi_0^\mu, \dot{\theta}_1, \dot{\theta}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_{0a}$. For the fields of the (p+1)-dimensional worldvolume theory such as the ten-dimensional space-time coordinates X^μ , the BI U(1) gauge field A_i and a pair of Majorana-Weyl spinors θ_1 and θ_2 , the canonical conjugate momenta are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}^\mu} = \tilde{P}_\mu + \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \pi_0^\mu} + \pi^a \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_\mu \partial_a \theta, \\ \pi^a &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{A}_a} = \tilde{\pi}^a + \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \mathcal{F}_{0a}}, \quad (a = 1, \dots, p), \quad \pi^0 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{A}_0} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\bar{P}_{\theta_A} = \left(\tilde{P}_\mu + \pi^a \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{0a}}{\partial \pi_0^\mu} \right) \frac{\partial \pi_0^\mu}{\partial \dot{\theta}_A} + \pi^a \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{0a}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_A} + \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_A}, \quad (A = 1, 2). \quad (5)$$

Here $\tilde{P}_\mu$ and $\tilde{\pi}^a$ are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_\mu &= -\frac{T}{2} \sqrt{-\det G} G^{(0,i)} \pi_{i\mu}, \\ \tilde{\pi}^a &= -\frac{T}{2} \sqrt{-\det G} G^{[a,0]} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with $G_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{ij}$, and satisfy the bosonic p+1 primary constraints

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{P}^2 + \tilde{\pi}^a \hat{\gamma}_{ab} \tilde{\pi}^b + T^2 \det \hat{G}_{ab}) = 0, \\ T_a &= \tilde{P}_\mu \pi_a^\mu + \tilde{\pi}^b \mathcal{F}_{ab} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\hat{G}_{ab}$ is the matrix with only worldspace components. Since $\dot{\theta}_A$ also cannot be expressed in terms of the canonical variables the expressions (5) yield the fermionic 32 constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}_1 &= \bar{P}_{\theta_1} + \bar{\theta}_1[\tilde{P}_\mu - \pi^a(\pi_{a\mu} + \bar{\theta}_1\Gamma_\mu\partial_a\theta_1)]\Gamma^\mu - \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_1} = 0, \\ \bar{\Phi}_2 &= \bar{P}_{\theta_2} + \bar{\theta}_2[\tilde{P}_\mu + \pi^a(\pi_{a\mu} + \bar{\theta}_2\Gamma_\mu\partial_a\theta_2)]\Gamma^\mu - \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_2} = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where we have used the right derivatives for fermionic fields as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{0a}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_A} = (-1)^{A+1}\bar{\theta}_A\Gamma_\mu(\pi_a^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\Gamma^\mu\partial_a\theta) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}_A\Gamma^\mu(\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{11}\Gamma_\mu\partial_a\theta).\tag{9}$$

These 32 constraints which consist of 16 first class ones and 16 second ones include additional terms $\bar{\theta}_A\Gamma_\mu\partial_a\theta_A$ ($A = 1, 2$) compared to those presented in Ref.[18], where in the first approximation the space-dependence on σ^a of spinors θ_A was neglected. It follows that the canonical Hamiltonian vanishes identically up to a term

$$H^c = P_\mu\dot{X}^\mu + \pi^i\dot{A}_i + \sum_A \bar{P}_{\theta_A}\dot{\theta}_A - L_{DBI} - L_{WZ} = \pi^a\partial_a A_0,\tag{10}$$

where L_{WZ} is linear in velocities so as to satisfy

$$\frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \dot{X}^\mu}\dot{X}^\mu + \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \mathcal{F}_{0a}}\mathcal{F}_{0a} + \sum_A \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_A}\dot{\theta}_A = L_{WZ}.\tag{11}$$

In this sense the super D-p-brane is a completely degenerate system. Therefore using the Lagrange multipliers $\xi, \rho, \rho^a, \psi_1$ and ψ_2 for the constraints we construct a Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H = \pi^a\partial_a A_0 + \xi\pi^0 + \rho T_0 + \rho^a T_a - \bar{\Phi}_A\psi_A,\tag{12}$$

where the first class constraints such as T_a, T_0 and π^0 generate worldspace diffeomorphisms, time translations and BI gauge transformations.

Now we use the Hamiltonian to present the phase space form of the super D-p-brane action

$$S_{ps} = \int d^{p+1}\sigma(P_\mu\dot{X}^\mu + \pi^a F_{0a} + \bar{P}_{\theta_A}\dot{\theta}_A - \xi\pi^0 - \rho T_0 - \rho^a T_a + \bar{\Phi}_A\psi_A).\tag{13}$$

This phase space action will be shown to be classically equivalent to the starting super D-p-brane non-linear action (1). The variations with respect to the momenta $\bar{P}_{\theta_A}, P_\mu$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_A &= -\dot{\theta}_A, \\ \tilde{P}^\mu &= \frac{\dot{X}^\mu - \rho^a\pi_a^\mu + \bar{\theta}_A\Gamma_\mu\psi_A}{2\rho} = \frac{\pi_0^\mu - \rho^a\pi_a^\mu}{2\rho}.\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

Varying the action with respect to the momentum π^a and using the two equations in (14) we can derive an equation expressed in terms of $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$

$$\tilde{\pi}^a = \frac{\hat{\gamma}^{ab}(\mathcal{F}_{0b} - \rho^c\mathcal{F}_{cb})}{2\rho},\tag{15}$$

where $\hat{\gamma}^{ab}$ is the inverse of the matrix with worldspace components $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$. There is a similarity between the expression of $\tilde{P}^\mu$ in (14) and that of $\tilde{\pi}^a$. In order to obtain the compact expression as $\tilde{\pi}^a$ in (15) the additional terms in (8) are necessary ingredients. Substitutions of (14) and (15) back into S_{ps} yield a configuration space action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{cs} &= \int d^{p+1}\sigma \left[\frac{1}{4\rho} (\gamma_{00} - 2\rho^a \gamma_{0a} + \rho^a \rho^b \gamma_{ab} + \hat{\gamma}^{ab} (\mathcal{F}_{0a} - \rho^c \mathcal{F}_{ca}) (\mathcal{F}_{0b} - \rho^d \mathcal{F}_{db})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \rho T^2 \det \hat{G}_{ab} + \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \dot{X}^\mu} \dot{X}^\mu + \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \mathcal{F}_{0a}} \mathcal{F}_{0a} + \frac{\partial L_{WZ}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_A} \dot{\theta}_A \right], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the WZ term is properly recovered through (11). The bosonic version of (16) was presented in Ref.[14], where there is no WZ term. The stationary equation concerning the Lagrange multiplier field ρ^a is given by

$$\rho^a = \hat{K}^{ab} K_{b0}, \quad (17)$$

where K_{ij} is defined as $K_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} - \mathcal{F}_{ia} \hat{\gamma}^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{bj}$ and $\hat{K}^{ab}$ is the inverse of the matrix with spatial components $\hat{K}_{ab}$. By the Gaussian integration about ρ^a through (17) we obtain an effective action with an auxiliary scalar field ρ

$$S_{cs} = \int d^{p+1}\sigma \left[\frac{1}{4\rho} (\gamma_{00} - G_{0a} \hat{G}^{ab} G_{b0}) - \rho T^2 \det \hat{G}_{ab} + L_{WZ} \right]. \quad (18)$$

This effective action seems quadratic in the time derivative of the canonical variables but it should be noted that there are couplings between the auxiliary scalar field and the canonical variables. Indeed the non-Gaussian integration over the remaining Lagrange multiplier field ρ generates the non-linear square root structure and reproduces the starting super D-p-brane action (1).

In Ref.[9] for the super IIA D-p-brane the κ -symmetry is used to eliminate half of the components of the θ coordinates. The gauge choice $\theta_2 = 0, \theta_1 = \lambda$ makes the theory surprisingly simple, where the WZ term vanishes. Here we start with the κ -symmetry-gauge-fixed action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -T \int d^{p+1}\sigma \sqrt{-\det(\gamma_{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{ij})}, \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \pi_i^\mu \pi_j^\nu, \quad \pi_i^\mu = \partial_i X^\mu - \bar{\lambda} \Gamma^\mu \partial_i \lambda, \\ \mathcal{F}_{ij} &= F_{ij} + \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_i \lambda \partial_j X^\mu - \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_j \lambda \partial_i X^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which is invariant under the reparametrization symmetry. The extended Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \pi^a \partial_a A_0 + \xi \pi^0 + \rho T_0 + \rho^a T_a - \bar{\Phi}_\lambda \psi_\lambda, \\ \bar{\Phi}_\lambda &= \bar{P}_\lambda + \bar{\lambda} [\tilde{P}_\mu - \pi^a (\pi_{a\mu} + \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_a \lambda)] \Gamma^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

in terms of the corresponding reduced constraints, where $\tilde{\pi}^a = \pi^a$, $\tilde{P}_\mu = P_\mu + \pi^a \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_a \lambda$ and $\bar{\Phi}_\lambda$ is the second class constraint. We will analyze the equations of motion for the canonical

variables. The τ evolutions of the bosonic coordinates X^μ and the conjugate momenta P_μ are solely generated by the constraints as

$$\dot{X}^\mu = \rho^a \pi_a^\mu + 2\rho \tilde{P}^\mu - \bar{\lambda} \Gamma^\mu \psi_\lambda, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}_\mu &= \partial_a [\rho^a \tilde{P}_\mu + (\rho^b \pi_a^b - \rho^a \pi_b^b) \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_b \lambda + 2\rho \pi^a \pi_{b\mu} \pi^b \\ &+ \rho T^2 \det \hat{G}_{ab} (\hat{G}^{(a,b)} \pi_{b\mu} + \hat{G}^{[a,b]} \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_b \lambda) + \pi^a \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \psi_\lambda], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where ψ_λ is set to $-\dot{\lambda}$ through the equations of motion for λ that will be analyzed below. The equation (22) into which the $\tilde{P}^\mu = (\pi_0^\mu - \rho^a \pi_a^\mu)/2\rho$ obtained from (21) is inserted, can coincide with the Euler-Lagrange equation of (19) only when

$$\frac{1}{2\rho} = \frac{T \det \hat{G}_{ab}}{\sqrt{-\det G}}, \quad \rho^a = -\frac{\Delta_{0a} + \Delta_{a0}}{2 \det \hat{G}_{ab}}. \quad (23)$$

Here Δ_{ij} is cofactor of the matrix G_{ij} as defined by $G^{ij} = \Delta_{ji}/\det G$. This agreement is shown by using the symmetric and anti-symmetric relations

$$\hat{\Delta}_{(a,b)} \det G = \Delta_{(a,b)} \det \hat{G}_{ab} - (\Delta_{0a} \Delta_{b0} + \Delta_{0b} \Delta_{a0}), \quad (24)$$

$$\hat{\Delta}_{[a,b]} \det G = \Delta_{[a,b]} \det \hat{G}_{ab} + (\Delta_{0a} \Delta_{b0} - \Delta_{0b} \Delta_{a0}), \quad (25)$$

where $\hat{\Delta}_{ab}$ is similarly defined by $\hat{G}^{ab} = \hat{\Delta}_{ba}/\det \hat{G}_{ab}$. The (24) and (25) relations are associated with $\hat{G}^{(a,b)}$ and $\hat{G}^{[a,b]}$ in (22) respectively. We can verify a single formula

$$\hat{\Delta}_{ab} \det G = \Delta_{ab} \det \hat{G}_{ab} - \Delta_{a0} \Delta_{0b}, \quad (26)$$

which leads to the above two relations. In Ref. [19] for the canonical formulation of super IIB D-p-brane the same choice as (23) has been presented. The canonical equations of motion for the BI gauge field are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}_a &= \rho^b \mathcal{F}_{ba} + 2\rho \gamma_{ab} \pi^b + \partial_a A_0 + \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \psi_\lambda \partial_a X^\mu \\ &+ (\rho^b \pi_b^\mu + 2\rho \tilde{P}^\mu - \bar{\lambda} \Gamma^\mu \psi_\lambda) \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_a \lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\dot{\pi}^a = \partial_b (\rho^b \pi^a - \rho^a \pi^b + \rho T^2 \det \hat{G}_{ab} \hat{G}^{[a,b]}). \quad (28)$$

In (28) the elimination of momentum $\pi^a = \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(\mathcal{F}_{0b} - \rho^c \mathcal{F}_{cb})/2\rho$ which is provided by combining (27) and (21), yields an equation of motion in the configuration space which agrees with the Euler-Lagrange equation of (19), where the choice (23) and the anti-symmetric relation (25) are used. Furthermore the canonical equations of motion for the 16-component spinor λ are written down as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\lambda} &= -\psi_\lambda, \\ \dot{\bar{P}}_\lambda &= -(\tilde{P}^\mu - \pi^a \partial_a X^\mu) \bar{\psi}_\lambda \Gamma_\mu + \partial_a \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu J^{a\mu} + \partial_a (\bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu J^{a\mu}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J^{a\mu} &= -\rho^a \tilde{P}^\mu + (\rho^a \pi^b - \rho^b \pi^a) \partial_b X^\mu - \rho T^2 \det \hat{G}_{ab} (\hat{G}^{(a,b)} \pi_b^\mu + \hat{G}^{[a,b]} \partial_b X^\mu) \\ &- 2\rho \pi^a \pi_b^\mu + (\rho^b \pi_b^\mu + 2\rho \tilde{P}^\mu - \bar{\lambda} \Gamma^\mu \psi_\lambda) \pi^a. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

They combine with (21) to produce the Euler-Lagrange equation of (19) for λ through (23) and both (24) and (25).

Now instead of the static gauge we impose a convenient gauge for fixing the reparametrization symmetry

$$\gamma_{00} - \mathcal{F}_{0a} \hat{\gamma}^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{b0} + \det(\hat{\gamma} + \hat{\mathcal{F}})_{ab} = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\gamma_{0a} - \mathcal{F}_{0b} \hat{\gamma}^{bc} \mathcal{F}_{ca} = 0, \quad (32)$$

that is associated with the p+1 first class constraints $T_0 = T_a = 0$. On setting $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = 0$ in (31) and (32) we have a covariant gauge fixing that was taken for the membrane theory [20, 21]. This is considered as a natural extension of the conformal gauge in the string theory. Under the relation (32) it is not difficult to prove that

$$G^{(0,a)} = G^{0a} + G^{a0} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Further there is a useful formula

$$\gamma_{00} - G_{0a} \hat{G}^{ab} G_{b0} = K_{00} - K_{0a} \hat{K}^{ab} K_{b0} \quad (34)$$

with $K_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} - \mathcal{F}_{ia} \hat{\gamma}^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{bj}$ used previously. Therefore the gauge fixing reexpressed by $K_{00} + \det \hat{G}_{ab} = 0, K_{0a} = 0$ leads to $\gamma_{00} - G_{0a} \hat{G}^{ab} G_{b0} + \det \hat{G}_{ab} = 0$. Hence from (23) we deduce that

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2T}, \quad \rho^a = 0. \quad (35)$$

In this gauge the canonical equations of motion for the Hamiltonian (20) with (35) are considerably simplified as

$$P_\mu = T\pi_{0\mu} - \pi^a \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_a \lambda, \quad \pi^a = T \hat{\gamma}^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{0b} \quad (36)$$

with $\tilde{P}_\mu = T\pi_{0\mu}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}_\mu &= \partial_a [\pi^a (\frac{1}{T} \pi_{b\mu} \pi^b - \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \dot{\lambda}) + \frac{T}{2} \det \hat{G}_{ab} (\hat{G}^{(a,b)} \pi_{b\mu} + \hat{G}^{[a,b]} \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_b \lambda)], \\ \dot{\pi}^a &= \frac{T}{2} \partial_b (\det \hat{G}_{ab} \hat{G}^{[a,b]}), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

which are read off directly from (21), (22), (27) and (28). Choosing ρ and ρ^a as (35) in the extended Hamiltonian is equivalent to choosing the conformal-like gauge (31), (32). Then through the simple forms of momenta (36), the first class constraints T_0 and T_a turn out to be the gauge-fixing expressions of (31) and (32) respectively. The canonical equations of motion for λ in the covariant gauge are also obtained from (29) and (30). The equations in (37), which are rewritten in the configuration space by substitution of (36), again agree with the Euler-Lagrange equations for the super D-p-brane action (19) under the conformal-like gauge fixing

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (T\pi_{0\mu} - \pi^a \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_a \lambda) - \frac{T}{2} \partial_a [\sqrt{-\det G} (G^{(a,i)} \pi_{i\mu} + G^{[a,i]} \bar{\lambda} \Gamma_\mu \partial_i \lambda)] &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \pi^a - \frac{T}{2} \partial_b (\sqrt{-\det G} G^{[a,b]}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Gathering together we find an effective simplified action

$$S = \frac{T}{2} \int d^{p+1} \sigma (\pi_0^\mu \pi_{0\mu} + \mathcal{F}_{0a} \hat{\gamma}^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{0b} - \det(\hat{\gamma} + \hat{\mathcal{F}})_{ab}) \quad (39)$$

with $\pi_0^\mu = \dot{X}^\mu - \bar{\lambda} \Gamma^\mu \dot{\lambda}$, whose Euler-Lagrange equations reproduce (37) and the equation of motion for λ . It is interesting to note that the resulting action (39) is directly obtained from the configuration effective action (18) if we choose $\rho = 1/2T, \theta_1 = \lambda, \theta_2 = 0$ and use (34) with $K_{0a} = 0$. Compared with the non-linearity of the starting super D-p-brane action in the square root form the obtained action is mainly quadratic in the time derivative of the bosonic ten-dimensional coordinates and the BI gauge field. The third term in (39) is generally non-quadratic but in the super IIA D-2-brane case it is decomposed into a quadratic part for the BI gauge field and a standard non-quadratic determinant part for the bosonic coordinates. Moreover, if we begin with the linearized action (39), since the velocities such as $\dot{X}^\mu$ and $\dot{A}_a$ now can be described in terms of the canonical variables, we have a non-zero canonical Hamiltonian $(\tilde{P}_\mu^2 + \pi^a \gamma_{ab} \pi^b + \det(\hat{\gamma} + \hat{\mathcal{F}})_{ab})/2T + \partial_a A_0 \pi^a$ with the fermionic and BI gauge symmetry constraints and then go back to the full Hamiltonian (20) accompanied with (35). This Hamiltonian that is quadratic in the momenta of bosonic variables has the similar structure to the light-cone Hamiltonians for the M-2-brane [22] and also the D-p-brane [15, 16].

In conclusion for the κ -symmetric IIA D-p-brane from the phase space action which is constructed by using the Dirac Hamiltonian we have recovered the non-linear BING action with the WZ term through equations of motion for the canonical momenta and the Lagrange multiplier fields. For this classical equivalence where the dynamics is generated by the constraints it is important to treat the fermionic constraints properly. On the way of this demonstration we have extracted an effective action which is specified by an auxiliary scalar field. At first sight it seems quadratic in the time derivative of the canonical variables. But it cannot be said that we obtain a truly linearized effective action, because the non-linearity is concealed in the coupling of the auxiliary scalar field to the canonical variables. For the κ -symmetry-gauge-fixed action we have found a suitable conformal-like covariant gauge that certainly linearizes the square root structure of BING action and generates a simplified effective action. Comparing the two effective actions we have seen that the conformal-like gauge fixing corresponds to the setting of the auxiliary scalar field at a particular value. This setting instead of integrating surely linearizes the BING action. To the same level as the light-cone gauge prescription the resulting covariant action has been simplified. The linearized simple form of our effective action allows us to hope that it opens the way to study the covariant quantization as well as spectrum of super IIA D-branes.

References

- [1] J. Polchinski, hep-th/9510017, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724; J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri and C.V. Johnson, hep-th/9602052.
- [2] E.S. Fradkin and A.A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. **B163** (1985) 123.
- [3] R.G. Leigh, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 2767.
- [4] M. Li, hep-th/9510161, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 351.
- [5] M. Douglas, hep-th/9512077.
- [6] C. Schmidhuber, hep-th/9601003, Nucl. Phys. **B467** (1996) 146.
- [7] A.A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9602064, Nucl. Phys. **B469** (1996) 51.
- [8] M.B. Green, C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, hep-th/9604119, Phys. Lett. **B382** (1996) 65.
- [9] M. Aganagic, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, hep-th/9610249, Phys. Lett. **B393** (1997) 311; hep-th/9612080, Nucl. Phys. **B495** (1997) 99.
- [10] M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, hep-th/9702133.
- [11] M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B.E.W. Nilsson and A. Westerberg, hep-th/9610148, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 163; M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B.E.W. Nilsson, P. Sundell and A. Westerberg, hep-th/9611159, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 179.
- [12] E. Bergshoeff and P.K. Townsend, hep-th/9611173, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 145.
- [13] M. Abou Zeid and C.M. Hull, hep-th/9704021.
- [14] U. Lindström and R. von Unge, hep-th/9704051, Phys. Lett. **B403** (1997) 233.
- [15] J. Lee, hep-th/9708093.
- [16] R. Manvelyan, A. Melikyan and R. Mkrtchyan, hep-th/9708076.
- [17] R. Bergshoeff and P.K. Townsend, hep-th/9804011.
- [18] R. Kallosh, hep-th/9705056, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 3515.
- [19] K. Kamimura and M. Hatsuda, hep-th/9712068; hep-th/9804087.
- [20] K. Fujikawa and J. Kubo, Phys. Lett. **B199** (1987) 75.
- [21] K. Fujikawa and K. Okuyama, hep-th/9706027; hep-th/9709044.
- [22] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe and H. Nicolai, Nucl. Phys. **B305** (1988) 545.